

Norm Kernels and the Closeness Relation for Pauli-Allowed Basis Functions

G. F. Filippov¹⁾, Yu. A. Lashko^{1,2)}, S. V. Korennov^{1,2)}, and K. Katō²⁾

¹⁾Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, 14-b Metrohichna Str.

Kiev-143, Ukraine

²⁾Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

February 8, 2008

Abstract

The norm kernel of the generator-coordinate method is shown to be a symmetric kernel of an integral equation with eigenfunctions defined in the Fock–Bargmann space and forming a complete set of orthonormalized states (classified with the use of SU(3) symmetry indices) satisfying the Pauli exclusion principle. This interpretation allows to develop a method which, even in the presence of the SU(3) degeneracy, provides for a consistent way to introduce additional quantum numbers for the classification of the basis states. In order to set the asymptotic boundary conditions for the expansion coefficients of a wave function in the SU(3) basis, a complementary basis of functions with partial angular momenta as good quantum numbers is needed. Norm kernels of the binary systems ${}^6\text{He}+p$, ${}^6\text{He}+n$, ${}^6\text{He}+{}^4\text{He}$, and ${}^8\text{He}+{}^4\text{He}$ are considered in detail.

1 Introduction

The concept of norm kernel in the generator-coordinate space was introduced by Horiuchi[1] and has been important in the realization of the resonating-group method (RGM)[2]. In order to find it, two Slater determinants are constructed on the Bloch–Brink orbitals[3] depending on two (different, in general) sets of vector generator parameters as well as the single-particle coordinates. Each of them generates a basis of the harmonic-oscillator states allowed by the Pauli principle. The norm kernel is obtained by integrating the product of the determinants over all single-particle variables. It is thus a function of the generator parameters which reproduces the relative motion of the nuclear clusters with the internal wave functions of the clusters being fixed.

A Pauli-allowed basis state defined in the coordinate space can be mapped onto the generator-parameter space by expansion of the norm kernel over the powers of the generator parameters. As the number of the generator parameters is relatively small, the map appears

to be much simpler than the original. Also, the norm kernel contains the eigenvalues of the basis functions.

The norm kernels of a number of nuclear systems were studied in works of Horiuchi and Fujiwara[4]. They have shown that the diagonalization of the norm kernel requires the basis to be labeled with the quantum indices (λ, μ) of irreducible representations of the $SU(3)$ group ($SU(3)$ irreps). The eigenvalues of the norm kernel depend on the total number of the oscillator quanta and (λ, μ) , and do not depend on the angular momenta of the basis states. In ref.[4], the diagonal form of the norm kernel for a two-cluster system, if at least one of the clusters has valence neutrons, depends on the $SU(3)$ Clebsch–Gordan coefficients. They appear if the reduction $SU(3) \otimes SU(3) \supset SU(3)$ is needed.

Usually the generator parameters are taken to be real which makes their physical interpretation easy. However, in this case the generator-coordinate method (GCM) meets some difficulties due to the singularity of the Gaussian transformation used in the Griffin–Hill–Wheeler theory[5]. This difficulty is avoided when the generator parameters are considered to be complex[5],[6]. Besides, there are other merits of the analytic continuation of the generator parameters onto the complex space. Below we show that in this case the norm kernel can be considered as a symmetric kernel of the Fredholm integral equation of the second kind. Then, its eigenfunctions (which are possible to find analytically) become the Pauli-allowed harmonic-oscillator basis states in the Fock–Bargmann representation, normalized with the Bargmann measure, while its eigenvalues appear to be directly related to those of the norm kernel.

The Hilbert–Schmidt expansion of the norm kernel is naturally interpreted as the closeness condition for the set of Pauli-allowed basis states in the RGM. These states are straightforwardly obtained in the Fock–Bargmann representation as long as there is no $SU(3)$ degeneracy. If there is one, these functions are found as solutions of an integral equation with the degenerate kernel.

The method of projection of a direct product of $SU(3)$ irreps onto the states having a definite $SU(3)$ symmetry described here does not require the explicit use of the $SU(3)$ Clebsch–Gordan coefficients and directly yields the $SU(3)$ weight factors. In the presence of the degeneracy, the method provides for a consistent way to introduce additional quantum numbers for the classification of the basis states.

A drawback of the basis of $SU(3)$ irreps ($SU(3)$ basis) is that, when a wave function of continuous spectra is expanded over its states, the expansion coefficients have a complicated form in the asymptotic limit of large number of oscillator quanta. In order to find the asymptotic behavior of these coefficients, a relation should be established between the $SU(3)$ basis and the "physical" basis, the latter having the partial angular momenta of clusters and of their relative motion as its quantum numbers. The $SU(3)$ basis functions are expanded over the "physical" basis states with the use of the integration technique in the Fock–Bargmann space developed by the present authors.

The issues covered in this paper are closely related to the problem of scattering of neutron-rich nuclei studied in recent experiments with radioactive nuclear beams. In cases when nuclei with open shells are involved, the RGM norm kernel becomes rather complicated and its analysis requires new approaches.

We begin with explaining our method on a well-known example of two interacting alpha-particles. Then we proceed to other cases of systems composed of a p -cluster and an s -cluster: ${}^6\text{He}+p$, ${}^6\text{He}+n$, ${}^6\text{He}+{}^4\text{He}$, and ${}^8\text{He}+{}^4\text{He}$.

2 Norm Kernel for ${}^8\text{Be}$

Important advantages of the Fock–Bargmann representation become clear even in the simple case of ${}^8\text{Be}={}^4\text{He}+{}^4\text{He}$. Various methods have been tested on this system. First, we briefly review an algorithm of the construction of the two-cluster norm kernel of ${}^8\text{Be}$ described by Saito [7], and then we compare it with another approach, in which the Fock–Bargmann representation is consistently used.

In [7], the Slater determinant $\bar{\Phi}$ was constructed from four single-particle states $\psi_1(\mathbf{r}_i)$ in the harmonic-oscillator field with the origin at $\mathbf{R}_1$

$$\psi_1(\mathbf{r}_i) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_1)^2\right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (1)$$

and four more states $\psi_2(\mathbf{r}_i)$ in the field with the center at $\mathbf{R}_2$

$$\psi_2(\mathbf{r}_i) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_2)^2\right), \quad i = 5, 6, 7, 8. \quad (2)$$

These single-particle states are the so-called *Bloch–Brink orbitals* which are often used in the alpha-particle model of the nucleus, that is, when a multi-center approximation is natural. The wave equation is then written not in the coordinate space, but in a representation where the wave function depends on the generator coordinates[8] $\mathbf{R}_i$ – the vectors describing the motion of the centers of the clusters. As the internal cluster functions are fixed and chosen to have a simple form, the number of independent variables is reduced and the attention is drawn to dynamics of the relative motion of the clusters.

A similar determinant $\tilde{\Phi}$ is constructed from the *conjugated* orbitals

$$\tilde{\psi}_1(\mathbf{r}_i) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{S}_1)^2\right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}_2(\mathbf{r}_i) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{S}_2)^2\right), \quad i = 5, 6, 7, 8. \quad (4)$$

The nature of the resonating-group method is variational. Therefore the construction of the overlap integral $\langle \tilde{\Phi} | \bar{\Phi} \rangle$ and the energy functional $\langle \tilde{\Phi} | \hat{H} | \bar{\Phi} \rangle$ for the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ of the nucleus ${}^8\text{Be}$ is followed by the independent variation of the orbitals $\psi_1(\mathbf{r}_i)$, $\psi_2(\mathbf{r}_i)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_1(\mathbf{r}_i)$, $\tilde{\psi}_2(\mathbf{r}_i)$.

It is convenient to modify the Bloch–Brink orbitals (1)–(2) as follows,

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{r}_i) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_i^2 + \sqrt{2}(\mathbf{R}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_i) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{R}_1^2\right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (5)$$

$$\phi_2(\mathbf{r}_i) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_i^2 + \sqrt{2}(\mathbf{R}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_i) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{R}_2^2\right), \quad i = 5, 6, 7, 8. \quad (6)$$

The *modified Brink orbital* is the kernel of the transformation from the coordinate space to the space of complex generator parameters (the Fock–Bargmann space[9]). It has other useful properties, in particular, it is the generating function for the harmonic-oscillator basis[10],[11].

Another simplification is the replacement of the single-particle variables $\mathbf{r}_i$ by the Jacobi vectors. As a result, in the Slater determinants Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ constructed from the modified Brink orbitals the wave functions of the center-of-mass motion are factored out (and dropped out from now on)¹.

The overlap integral $\langle \tilde{\Phi} | \Phi \rangle$ (integration is over all single-particle variables) is obtained in the form

$$I_S(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{R}^2}{2}\right) 8 \sinh^4 \frac{(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})}{4} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{S}^2}{2}\right), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_1 = -\mathbf{R}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}, \quad \mathbf{S}_1 = -\mathbf{S}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{S}}{2}.$$

In the orbitals are modified, the result is somewhat simpler,

$$I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = 8 \sinh^4 \frac{(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})}{4}. \quad (8)$$

Eqs. (7) and (8) are symmetric norm kernels.

3 Properties of Norm Kernels

A norm kernel can, in principle, be expanded over a complete set of its eigenfunctions. The expanded form of (7) and (8), respectively, is

$$I_S(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = \sum_n \bar{\lambda}_n \bar{\psi}_n(\mathbf{R}) \bar{\psi}_n(\mathbf{S}), \quad (9)$$

$$I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = \sum_n \lambda_n \psi_n(\mathbf{R}) \psi_n(\mathbf{S}), \quad (10)$$

where $\bar{\lambda}_n$ and λ_n are the eigenvalues, $\bar{\psi}_n(\mathbf{R})$ and $\psi_n(\mathbf{R})$ are the eigenvectors. These equations serve as closeness conditions for the bases $\{\bar{\psi}_n(\mathbf{R})\}$ and $\{\psi_n(\mathbf{R})\}$ of allowed states in a space of the generator vector $\mathbf{R}$.

The norm kernels (7) and (8) do differ. To comprehend the nature of this difference, we need to match them with integral equations having the orthonormalized states $\bar{\psi}_n(\mathbf{R})$ and $\psi_n(\mathbf{R})$, respectively, as their solutions. But first, we need to define the range of integration in the generator parameter space as well as the measure.

¹Each of the two determinants is a kernel of the integral transform from the coordinate space to the Fock–Bargmann space. In the RGM, this transform is carried with a reduction in the number of independent variables.

In Saito's treatment, the generator parameters $\mathbf{R}_k$ have a simple physical interpretation. They describe spatial translations of the cluster centers, and thus their Cartesian components R_{kx}, R_{ky}, R_{kz} are in the range

$$-\infty < R_{kx}, R_{ky}, R_{kz} < \infty.$$

If the measure is set to the unity, one arrives to the integral equation

$$\lambda \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{R}) = \int \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{R}^2}{2}\right) \sinh^4\left(\frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S}}{4}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{S}^2}{2}\right) \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{S}) d\mathbf{S}. \quad (11)$$

Consider now the case of the modified orbitals, Eqs.(5)–(6). Each of them is an eigenfunction of the position vector operator $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i$

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\mathbf{R}_k + \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_k}), \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (12)$$

defined in the Fock–Bargmann space with the eigenvalue $\mathbf{r}_i$. The independent variables in the Fock–Bargmann space are complex vectors

$$\mathbf{R}_k = \frac{\vec{\xi}_k + i\vec{\eta}_k}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad k = 1, 2. \quad (13)$$

Here $\vec{\xi}_k$ and $\vec{\eta}_k$ are coordinate and momentum vectors, respectively. In fact, functions of $\mathbf{R}_k$ are defined in the phase space. In general, the number of vectors $\mathbf{R}_k$ depends on the assumed cluster structure of the system under consideration.

The integral equation in the Fock–Bargmann space takes the form

$$\lambda \psi(\mathbf{R}) = \int 8 \sinh^4\left(\frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{S}}}{4}\right) \psi(\bar{\mathbf{R}}) d\mu_b, \quad (14)$$

where

$$d\mu_b = \exp\{-(\bar{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{S}})\} \frac{d\bar{\xi} d\bar{\eta}}{(2\pi)^3}$$

is the *Bargmann measure*.

The solutions of Eqs.(11),(14) should be presented as Hilbert–Schmidt expansions (9–10) of the respective kernels. The latter expansion, Eq.(10), is of particular interest. It is a *density matrix* defined in the phase space, and, as such, can be directly used in quantum statistics.

As for Eq.(11), due to the well-known problem of singularity of the weight functions in the generator-coordinate method with real parameters, it cannot be easily solved. The eigenvalues of the kernel were found in ref. [7] by expanding it in a series over the powers of the generator parameters. However, the eigenfunctions of (7) do not enter this expansion and thus, it is not the Hilbert–Schmidt expansion (9) for this kernel. On the other hand, the solution of Eq.(14) (both eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the kernel (8)) is readily

found. It suffices to expand the kernel (8) in a series over powers of the dot product $(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})$ and make sure that a $2n$ -th term (only even powers appear in the expansion) is a packet of states belonging to the $(2n, 0)$ irrep. Indeed, in the expansion

$$I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \left(1 - \frac{4}{2^{2n}}\right) (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{2n} \quad (15)$$

the expression

$$I_{(2n,0)} = \frac{1}{(2n)!} (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{2n} \quad (16)$$

is a norm kernel for the irrep $(2n, 0)$. It is important that

$$\int \frac{1}{(2n)!} (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{2n} d\mu_b = \frac{(2n+1)(2n+2)}{2}, \quad (17)$$

that is, integration of the kernel $I_{(2n,0)}$ with the Bargmann measure² yields the number of the basis states belonging to the $SU(3)$ irrep $(2n, 0)$ in accordance with the formula[12]

$$\dim[\lambda\mu] = \frac{(\lambda+1)(\mu+1)(\lambda+\mu+2)}{2}. \quad (18)$$

The choice of a common norm factor at the kernel $I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})$ worths some attention. In the example considered here, n is the total number of oscillator quanta. The normalization of the kernel to the *dimensionality of the $SU(3)$ irrep* adopted here is consistent with the statistical interpretation of $I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})$ as a density matrix.

The wave packet (16) can be written down as a sum of overlaps of states with definite values of the number of quanta $2n$, angular momentum l and its projection m

$$I_{(2n,0)} = \sum_{l,m} \psi_{2n,l,m}(\mathbf{R}) \psi_{2n,l,m}(\mathbf{S}), \quad (19)$$

where l takes all possible even values from 0 to $2n$, and basis function $\psi_{2n,l,m}(\mathbf{R})$ are normalized to unity,

$$\int \psi_{2n,l,m}(\mathbf{R}) \psi_{2n,l,m}(\mathbf{S}) d\mu_b = 1. \quad (20)$$

Some of the simplest basis functions (normalized using the formulae in Appendix A) are:

$$\psi_{0,0,0} = 1, \quad \psi_{2,0,0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3!}} \mathbf{R}^2, \quad \psi_{2n,0,0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2n+1)!}} \mathbf{R}^{2n},$$

$$\psi_{2,2,0} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3!}} \left(\frac{3}{2} R_z^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}^2 \right). \quad (21)$$

²See Appendix A.

Now the expansion of the norm kernel (8) takes the form

$$I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{4}{2^{2n}}\right) \sum_{l,m} \psi_{2n,l,m}(\mathbf{R}) \psi_{2n,l,m}(\mathbf{S}). \quad (22)$$

Thus we have found the complete set of the orthonormalized eigenfunctions $\{\psi_{2n,l,m}(\mathbf{R})\}$ and eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{2n,l,m} = 1 - \frac{4}{2^{2n}}$$

of the norm kernel (8) without solving the integral equation (14) directly.

Note that the eigenvalues of (8) limit to a finite value (unity) at $n \rightarrow \infty$, thus the kernel itself is of special kind[13]. The deviations from the unity are due to the Pauli exclusion principle.

4 Generalizations

The example of the $\alpha + \alpha$ system considered above is of heuristic nature and can lay ground for some generalizations to more complex systems studied within the framework of the RGM.

4.1 Closeness Condition

It is known that the closeness condition for a set of basis function in the coordinate space, $\{\varphi_n(\mathbf{r})\}$, is

$$\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \sum_n \varphi_n(\mathbf{r}) \varphi_n^*(\mathbf{r}'), \quad (23)$$

where the sum runs over all values of the quantum numbers n . In the Fock–Bargmann space, this condition reads

$$\exp(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S}) = \sum_n \psi_n(\mathbf{R}) \psi_n(\mathbf{S}), \quad (24)$$

where $\psi_n(\mathbf{R})$ is the Fock–Bargmann map of $\varphi_n(\mathbf{r})$. A unitary transform of the set $\varphi_n(\mathbf{r})$ or its map $\psi_n(\mathbf{R})$ leaves Eqs. (23–24) intact. On the other hand, solution of the integral equation

$$\lambda \Psi(\mathbf{R}) = \int I(\mathbf{R}, \bar{\mathbf{S}}) \Psi(\bar{\mathbf{R}}) d\mu_b \quad (25)$$

yields an orthonormalized set $\{\Psi_n(\mathbf{R})\}$ as well, but these states are allowed by the Pauli principle, and the closeness relation for them is expressed by the Hilbert–Schmidt expansion,

$$\langle \tilde{\Phi} | \Phi \rangle \equiv I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = \sum_n \lambda_n \Psi_n(\mathbf{R}) \Psi_n(\mathbf{S}), \quad (26)$$

which is quite different from the expansion (24). Indeed, whereas Eq.(24) is valid for various sets of orthonormalized functions, as long as those sets are complete, only uniquely defined eigenfunctions of the symmetric kernel $I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S})$ enter Eq.(26).

Although the norm kernel here, in fact, was expanded over a complete set of the eigenstates $\Psi_n(\mathbf{R})$ of the antisymmetrization operator, it may be alternatively expanded over eigenfunctions of a Hamiltonian. But such an expansion should be performed carefully. Prior to it, the functions $\Psi_n(\mathbf{R})$ have to be replaced with $\bar{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{R})$ defined by

$$\bar{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{R}) = \sqrt{\lambda_n} \Psi_n(\mathbf{R}). \quad (27)$$

The new basis functions are still orthogonal but have an additional norm factor $\sqrt{\lambda_n}$. Eqs.(26–27) are followed by

$$I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = \sum_n \bar{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{R}) \bar{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{S}). \quad (28)$$

The quadratic form (28) remains diagonal whatever unitary transform is applied to the basis $\{\bar{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{R})\}$. One particular example of such unitary transform appears in the algebraic version of the RGM (AV RGM)[14], where eigenfunctions $F_\nu(\mathbf{R})$ of the RGM Hamiltonian, defined in the Fock–Bargmann space, are constructed in the form

$$F_\nu(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_n C_n^\nu \bar{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{R}), \quad (29)$$

both in discrete and continuous sections of the spectrum. The set of coefficients C_n^ν is a unitary matrix, thus the expansion (28) is replaced with another one, containing an integration over continuum states $F_E(\mathbf{R})$ with energy E and a sum over discrete states $F_\nu(\mathbf{R})$.

$$I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\nu_0} F_\nu(\mathbf{R}) F_\nu(\mathbf{S}) + \int_0^\infty F_E(\mathbf{R}) F_E(\mathbf{S}) dE. \quad (30)$$

The coefficients C_n^ν and C_n^E are computed in the following way. The overlap of the Slater determinants with the RGM Hamiltonian is expanded over either the states $\Psi_n(\mathbf{R})$,

$$\langle \tilde{\Phi} | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{n, \tilde{n}} \tilde{\Psi}_{\tilde{n}}(\mathbf{S}) \langle \tilde{n} | \hat{H} | n \rangle \Psi_n(\mathbf{R}), \quad (31)$$

($\langle \tilde{n} | \hat{H} | n \rangle$ are the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian), or the states $\bar{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{R})$,

$$\langle \tilde{\Phi} | \hat{H} | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{n, \tilde{n}} \bar{\Psi}_{\tilde{n}}(\mathbf{S}) \frac{\langle \tilde{n} | \hat{H} | n \rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n \lambda_{\tilde{n}}}} \bar{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{R}). \quad (32)$$

After that, the following quadratic form is diagonalized,

$$\langle \tilde{\Phi} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{n, \tilde{n}} \bar{\Psi}_{\tilde{n}}(\mathbf{S}) \left(\frac{\langle \tilde{n} | \hat{H} | n \rangle}{\sqrt{\lambda_n \lambda_{\tilde{n}}}} - E \delta_{n, \tilde{n}} \right) \bar{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{R}). \quad (33)$$

This is done by a transition from the basis states $\bar{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{R})$ to $F_\nu(\mathbf{R})$ and $F_E(\mathbf{R})$, which transforms Eq.(33) into

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\Phi} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi \rangle &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\nu_0} F_\nu(\mathbf{R}) (E_\nu - E) F_\nu(\mathbf{S}) \\ &+ \int_0^\infty F_{E'}(\mathbf{R}) (E' - E) F_{E'}(\mathbf{S}) dE'. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

This brings us to the spectrum of the RGM Hamiltonian in the Fock–Bargmann space, and in the representation of the Pauli-allowed harmonic-oscillator states.

The norm kernel can be treated as an RGM density matrix[15] in the phase space. From its structure we conclude that it is the density matrix of a "mixed" state³. It takes a diagonal form only in the representation of the basis of its eigenfunctions. A unitary transform of the basis disrupts the diagonal form of the "mixed" density matrix. This would not be the case if all the eigenvalues were equal to unity. Then the summation of the density matrix over all allowed states would yield a Slater determinant of overlaps, i.e.

$$\det || \exp(\mathbf{R}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j) ||,$$

where $\mathbf{R}_i$ is the complex vector parameter for i th particle. Finally, the closeness relation for the set $\{\Psi_n(\{\mathbf{R}_i\})\}$ in the Fock–Bargmann representation would read[16]

$$\sum_n \Psi_n(\{\mathbf{R}_i\}) \Psi_n(\{\mathbf{S}_i\}) = \det || \exp(\mathbf{R}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j) ||. \quad (35)$$

4.2 Eigenvalues of the Norm Kernel

The Fock–Bargmann representation open a simple way to explain the physical sense of the eigenvalues of the norm kernel. Its eigenfunctions possess a permutational symmetry, since they are, in fact, eigenfunctions of the antisymmetrization operator. Again, consider the $\alpha + \alpha$ system as an example. The norm kernel is

$$I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = \cosh(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S}) - 4 \cosh\{(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})/2\} + 3. \quad (36)$$

The antisymmetrization operator $\hat{A}$ is defined by the following relation,

$$I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}) = \hat{A} \cosh(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S}). \quad (37)$$

If $F(\mathbf{R}^2)$ is an entire function of even powers of $\mathbf{R}$, then

$$\hat{A} F(\mathbf{R}^2) = F(\mathbf{R}^2) - 4F\left(\frac{\mathbf{R}^2}{4}\right) + F(0). \quad (38)$$

³The spectrum of eigenvectors and eigenvalues of a norm kernel (a density matrix) is uniquely defined. This also unambiguously defines those operators which the Hamiltonian generating this spectrum is composed of. However, the norm kernel *itself* is not unique; its form depends on the choice of generator parameters.

In particular,

$$\hat{A} \mathbf{R}^{2n} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{4^{n-1}}\right) \mathbf{R}^{2n}. \quad (39)$$

Therefore, the eigenvalues of $\hat{A}$ are, expectedly, equal to

$$1 - \frac{1}{4^{n-1}},$$

if $n > 1$, and zero, if $n = 0, 1$.

5 Norm Kernels in the SU(3) Model

Before proceeding to the RGM norm kernels in the case of open p -shell clusters, we consider Elliott's SU(3) model[17] where it is also appropriate to define the norm kernels in the Fock–Bargmann space. Their form is particularly simple for the *leading* irreducible representations. In general, they depend on two complex vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ and their complex conjugate counterparts $\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*$. These vectors are independent variables of wave functions of the valence nucleons in the Fock–Bargmann representation. If the leading SU(3) irrep is labelled by the indexes (λ, μ) , then its norm kernel $I_{(\lambda, \mu)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*)$ is

$$I_{(\lambda, \mu)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*) = \frac{\lambda + 1}{(\lambda + \mu + 1)! \mu!} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}^*)^\lambda ([\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}][\mathbf{u}^* \times \mathbf{v}^*])^\mu. \quad (40)$$

The kernel (40) is normalized to the dimensionality (18) of the SU(3) irrep, i.e.

$$\int I_{(\lambda, \mu)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*) d\mu_b = \frac{(\lambda + 1)(\mu + 1)(\lambda + \mu + 2)}{2}. \quad (41)$$

The explicit form of the wave functions $\Psi_{L\alpha M}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ in the Elliott's model can be derived from the Hilbert–Schmidt expansion of the kernel (40),

$$I_{(\lambda, \mu)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*) = \sum_{L\alpha M} \Psi_{L\alpha M}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \Psi_{L\alpha M}(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*) \quad (42)$$

The expansion itself is projection of the kernel to the states with definite values of the angular momentum L , its projection M and, if necessary, an additional quantum number α . The latter is needed to label the states with the same L in a given SU(3) irrep, and only when such multiplicity exists does one need to solve an integral equation with the degenerate kernel. As an illustration, we show a normalized wave function for $L = 0$ (both λ and μ are even),

$$\Psi_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = N_0 (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{\lambda/2} ([\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}]^2)^{\mu/2}, \quad (43)$$

$$N_0^2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{\lambda + 1}{(\lambda + \mu + 1)! \mu!} \frac{\Gamma((\lambda + 1)/2) \Gamma((\lambda + \mu)/2 + 1) \Gamma((\mu + 1)/2)}{\Gamma(\lambda/2 + 1) \Gamma((\lambda + \mu + 3)/2) \Gamma(\mu/2 + 1)}.$$

6 Norm Kernels for Some Two-Cluster Systems

If a scattering of two open-shell clusters in the leading $SU(3)$ representation $((\lambda_1, \mu_1)$ for the first cluster, (λ_2, μ_2) for the second) is studied in the Fock–Bargmann space, the norm kernel depends on five complex vectors. Two of them, $\mathbf{u}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_1$, describe the degrees of freedom of the first cluster, two more, $\mathbf{u}_2$ and $\mathbf{v}_2$, are needed for the second one, and the fifth vector $\mathbf{R}$ describes the relative motion of the clusters.

The nucleons in the s -shell are described by the modified Brink orbital (5), while for those in the p -shell the form of the orbital is

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4}} \sqrt{2} (\mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}) \exp \left(-\frac{\mathbf{r}^2}{2} + \sqrt{2} (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{r}) - \frac{\mathbf{R}^2}{2} \right), \quad (44)$$

The norm kernel takes the form of a sum of terms

$$I_n(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}_1^*, \mathbf{v}_1^*, \mathbf{u}_2^*, \mathbf{v}_2^*, \mathbf{S})$$

with definite number n of oscillator quanta along $\mathbf{R}$.

6.1 System ${}^6\text{He}+p$

For the system ${}^6\text{He}+p$, two vectors, $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{R}$, are needed. The first vector is for the open-shell cluster ${}^6\text{He}$, the second is for the relative motion of the clusters. The ${}^6\text{He}$ has two neutrons in the p -shell which are described by an orbital (44) with the vector $\mathbf{u}$. The norm kernel is written as

$$I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) = \sum_n \lambda_n I_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}), \quad (45)$$

$$\lambda_n = 1 - \left(-\frac{1}{6} \right)^n, \quad I_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) = \frac{1}{2 \cdot n!} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}^*)^2 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^n.$$

The expression $I_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S})$ is a product of norm overlaps of two $SU(3)$ irreps, namely, $(2, 0)$ and $(n, 0)$, which is reducible to $SU(3)$ irreps $(n+2, 0)$, $(n, 1)$ and $(n-2, 2)$. Total number of states (dimensionality) of the direct product exactly equals to the sum of dimensionalities of the latter three irreps,

$$\frac{(n+3)(n+4)}{2} + (n+1)(n+3) + \frac{3(n-1)(n+2)}{2} = 3(n+1)(n+2).$$

The next problem is the separation of $I_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S})$ into a sum of overlaps for a definite $SU(3)$ irreps. It can be solved by introduction of the $SU(3)$ group generators $C_{i,j}$ expressed in terms of Cartesian components of the vectors $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{R}$,

$$C_{i,j} = u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} + R_i \frac{\partial}{\partial R_j} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{i,j} \{ (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}) + (\mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}) \}, \quad (46)$$

and the second-order Casimir operator of the SU(3) group,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{G}_2 = \sum_{i,j} C_{i,j} C_{j,i} &= (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}) + (\mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}) \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} \{ (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}})(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}) + (\mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}})(\mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}) \\ &+ (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}})(\mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}) + (\mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}})(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}) \} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Acting onto $I_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S})$ by $\hat{G}_2$ iteratively⁴, one can obtain three its eigenfunctions, which are the norm kernels of three irreps:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (n+2, 0) | (n+2, 0) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2 \cdot n!} \left\{ (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}^*)^2 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^n \right. \\ &- \frac{2n}{n+2} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}^*) ([\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{R}] \cdot [\mathbf{u}^* \times \mathbf{S}]) (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{n-1} \\ &+ \left. \frac{n(n-1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} ([\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{R}] \cdot [\mathbf{u}^* \times \mathbf{S}])^2 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{n-2} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (n, 1) | (n, 1) \rangle &= \frac{n}{n!(n+2)} \left\{ (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}^*) ([\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{R}] \cdot [\mathbf{u}^* \times \mathbf{S}]) (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{n-1} \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{n-1}{n} ([\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{R}] \cdot [\mathbf{u}^* \times \mathbf{S}])^2 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{n-2} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$\langle (n-2, 2) | (n-2, 2) \rangle = \frac{n-1}{2(n+1)!} ([\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{R}] \cdot [\mathbf{u}^* \times \mathbf{S}])^2 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{n-2}. \quad (50)$$

Note that more symmetric (in the sense of SU(3) symmetry) norm kernels have more terms.

This brings us to the norm kernel of the ${}^6\text{He}+p$ system,

$$\begin{aligned}I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) &= \sum_n \lambda_n \{ \langle (n+2, 0) | (n+2, 0) \rangle \\ &+ \langle (n, 1) | (n, 1) \rangle + \langle (n-2, 2) | (n-2, 2) \rangle \}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The eigenvalues λ_n depend on n but are independent of the SU(3) indices, if n is fixed. The spectrum of eigenvalues of the norm kernel appears to be so simple because the cluster ${}^6\text{He}$ has no proton in its p -shell.

The minimal number of quanta of relative motion of the clusters is $n_{\min} = 1$. At $n \geq 1$, the irreps $(n+2, 0)$ and $(n, 1)$, are realized, while the third irrep, $(n-2, 2)$, appears at $n \geq 2$. The eigenvalues λ_n are shown in Table 1 for $n \leq 11$.

⁴In practice, it suffices to act with the operator

$$\hat{G}'_2 = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}})(\mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}})$$

with the same final result.

	$n = 2k$	$n = 2k + 1$
k	λ_n	λ_n
0	0	1.1667
1	0.9722	1.0046
2	0.9992	1.0001
3	0.9999	1.0000
4	0.9999	1.0000
5	1.0000	1.0000

Table 1: Eigenvalues λ_n of the norm kernel for the ${}^6\text{He}+p$ system.

To illustrate the possibility of further reductions, we project the kernel (51) onto the states with $L = 0$. Such states belong to the $\text{SU}(3)$ irreps with even $n = 2k$ ($k=1, 2, \dots$). The normalized wave functions $\Psi_{(2k+2,0)L=0}$ and $\Psi_{(2k-2,2)L=0}$ take the form

$$\Psi_{(2k+2,0)L=0}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2(2k)!(2k+3)}} \left\{ \mathbf{u}^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k} - \frac{2k}{2k+1} [\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{R}]^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k-2} \right\},$$

$$\Psi_{(2k-2,2)L=0}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}) = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2(2k+1)!(2k+1)}} [\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{R}]^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k-2}.$$

The momentum-projected norm kernel is then

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{2k} \{ \Psi_{(2k+2,0)L=0}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}) \Psi_{(2k+2,0)L=0}(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) \\ &\quad + \Psi_{(2k-2,2)L=0}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}) \Psi_{(2k-2,2)L=0}(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) + \dots \}, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where dots stand for terms with $L \neq 0$.

Working with the $\text{SU}(3)$ basis, one meets a problem of formulation of asymptotic conditions for the wave function expansion coefficients. Therefore, an introduction of functions of the "physical" basis $\Phi_k^{(l_{\mathbf{u}}, l_{\mathbf{R}}, L)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R})$, where $l_{\mathbf{u}}$ and $l_{\mathbf{R}}$ are partial angular momenta of the ${}^6\text{He}$ cluster and the relative motion of the clusters, respectively, appears to be useful. The transformation between the two bases is unitary. If $L = 0$, the "physical" functions take the form

$$\Phi_k^{(0,0,0)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6(2k+1)!}} \mathbf{u}^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k} \quad (53)$$

$$\Phi_k^{(2,2,0)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}) = \sqrt{\frac{2k}{3(2k+1)!(2k+3)}} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{R})^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k-2} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k} \right\}, \quad (54)$$

and the transformation is

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{(2k+2,0)L=0} &= \sqrt{\frac{2k+3}{3(2k+1)}}\Phi_k^{(0,0,0)} + \sqrt{\frac{4k}{3(2k+1)}}\Phi_k^{(2,2,0)} \\ \Psi_{(2k-2,2)L=0} &= \sqrt{\frac{4k}{3(2k+1)}}\Phi_k^{(0,0,0)} - \sqrt{\frac{2k+3}{3(2k+1)}}\Phi_k^{(2,2,0)}.\end{aligned}\quad (55)$$

The fact that the eigenvalues for the irreps $(2k+2, 0)$ and $(2k-2, 2)$ coincide makes it possible to keep the diagonal form of the norm kernel for this nuclear system in the "physical" basis,

$$\begin{aligned}I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{2k} \{ \Phi_k^{(0,0,0)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}) \Phi_k^{(0,0,0)}(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) \\ &\quad + \Phi_k^{(2,2,0)}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}) \Phi_k^{(2,2,0)}(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) + \dots \}.\end{aligned}\quad (56)$$

6.2 System ${}^6\text{He}+n$

This system is somewhat more complicated, because the dynamics of the neutron cluster is influenced by the presence of a neutron in the p -shell of ${}^6\text{He}$. The norm kernel now reads

$$\begin{aligned}I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) &= \sum_n \left\{ \Lambda_{(n+2,0)} \frac{1}{2 \cdot n!} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}^*)^2 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Lambda_{(n,1)} \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+2)!} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}^*) ([\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{R}] \cdot [\mathbf{u}^* \times \mathbf{S}]) (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{n-1} \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (57)$$

where the subscripts in the coefficients

$$\Lambda_{(n+2,0)} = 1 + (-1)^n \frac{7n-1}{6^n}, \quad \Lambda_{(n,1)} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{7(n+2)}{2 \cdot 6^n} \quad (58)$$

indicate the most symmetric $\text{SU}(3)$ irrep realized in the corresponding term of Eq.(57). As in the previous case, the $\text{SU}(3)$ projection is needed, leading to the following result,

$$\begin{aligned}I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) &= \sum_n \{ \lambda_{(n+2,0)} \langle (n+2, 0) | (n+2, 0) \rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda_{(n,1)} \langle (n, 1) | (n, 1) \rangle + \lambda_{(n-2,2)} \langle (n-2, 2) | (n-2, 2) \rangle \},\end{aligned}\quad (59)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{(n+2,0)} &= \Lambda_{(n+2,0)}, \\ \lambda_{(n,1)} &= \Lambda_{(n+2,0)} + \Lambda_{(n,1)}, \\ \lambda_{(n-2,2)} &= \Lambda_{(n+2,0)} + \frac{2(n+1)}{n+2} \Lambda_{(n,1)},\end{aligned}\quad (60)$$

and the explicit form of $\langle (\lambda, \mu) | (\lambda, \mu) \rangle$ was obtained above (Eqs.(48–50)).

At $n = 1$, only functions belonging to the space of the $(1, 1)$ irrep are allowed. At $n \geq 2$, all three irreps are present in the norm kernel (see Table 2).

	$n = 2k$			$n = 2k + 1$		
k	$(n + 2, 0)$	$(n, 1)$	$(n - 2, 2)$	$(n + 2, 0)$	$(n, 1)$	$(n - 2, 2)$
0	0	0	0	0	1.75	0
1	1.3611	0.9722	0.7778	0.9074	0.9884	1.0370
2	1.0208	1.0046	0.9938	0.9956	0.9988	1.0010
3	1.0009	1.0003	0.9998	0.9998	0.9999	1.0000
4	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	1.0000
5	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000

Table 2: Eigenvalues $\lambda_{(\lambda,\mu)}$ of the norm kernel for the system ${}^6\text{He}+n$.

Again, a "physical" basis is needed to define the asymptotic form of the wave function expansion coefficients. It is particularly important in the calculations in the continuum, where it is expressed in terms of the scattering S -matrix elements. The eigenfunctions are the same as for ${}^6\text{He}+p$, but the unitary transformation to the "physical" basis breaks the diagonal form of the norm kernel⁵, due to the difference between the eigenvalues for different SU(3) irreps. One notices, however, that this difference vanishes at large numbers of the oscillator quanta $n = 2k$, at which, indeed, the asymptotic conditions are defined for the expansion coefficients in the "physical" basis and related to the scattering S -matrix elements. Therefore, these conditions may be converted into the SU(3) basis. The latter has an important advantage: the norm kernel is diagonal in the SU(3) representation. As for the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian and, in particular, of the kinetic energy operator, they are not more complicated when written in the SU(3) basis.

6.3 System ${}^6\text{He}+{}^4\text{He}$

Gradually increasing the complexity of the systems studied, we replace the neutron cluster with the α -particle. As the latter is free of p -shell nucleons, the norm kernel does not require additional generating vectors. Consequently, the form of both "physical" and SU(3) basis functions remains intact. The increase in the number of nucleons will have an effect on the form of the coefficients $\Lambda_{(\lambda,\mu)}$, and hence, of the eigenvalues of the norm kernel.

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) &= \sum_n \left\{ \Lambda_{(n+2,0)} \frac{1}{2 \cdot n!} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}^*)^2 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^n \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Lambda_{(n,1)} \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+2)!} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}^*) ([\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{R}] \cdot [\mathbf{u}^* \times \mathbf{S}]) (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{n-1} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

⁵The nature of this breaking is that, unlike the functions of the SU(3) basis, those of the "physical" basis are not eigenfunctions of the antisymmetrization operator and, therefore, are not invariant in respect to a permutation of the nucleons. The permutation mixes the "physical" basis functions with the same value of k . However, as k increases, the degree of mixing decreases exponentially, and at $k > 5$ the norm kernel becomes practically diagonal in the "physical" basis as well.

$$+ \Lambda_{(n-2,2)} \frac{n-1}{2(n+1)!} ([\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{R}] \cdot [\mathbf{u}^* \times \mathbf{S}])^2 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{n-2} \Big\}. \quad (61)$$

The explicit form of the coefficients $\Lambda_{(\lambda,\mu)}$ is shown in Appendix B. The SU(3) projection reduces the norm kernel to the form of Eq.(59), but now with

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{(n+2,0)} &= \Lambda_{(n+2,0)}, \\ \lambda_{(n,1)} &= \Lambda_{(n+2,0)} + \Lambda_{(n,1)}, \\ \lambda_{(n-2,2)} &= \Lambda_{(n+2,0)} + \frac{2(n+1)}{n+2} \Lambda_{(n,1)} + \Lambda_{(n-2,2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

The difference, evidently, is in the appearance of the coefficient $\Lambda_{(n-2,0)}$ which was, in fact, equal to zero in the previous case.

The values of $\lambda_{(n+2,2)}$ are all zeros at $n < 6$. The eigenvalues $\lambda_{(n,1)}$ equal to zero if $n < 5$ and, finally, $\lambda_{(n-2,2)}$ vanish for $n < 4$. In other words, the states belonging to the SU(3) irrep $(n-2, 2)$ become allowed if $n \geq 4$, the minimal number of quanta for the $(\lambda, \mu) = (n, 1)$ states is 5, and finally, the states with $(\lambda, \mu) = (n+2, 0)$ are allowed only if $n \geq 6$ (Table 3).

	$n = 2k$			$n = 2k + 1$		
k	$(n+2, 0)$	$(n, 1)$	$(n-2, 2)$	$(n+2, 0)$	$(n, 1)$	$(n-2, 2)$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	1.2056	0	1.0549	0.4521
3	0.9419	0.2721	1.1587	0.1831	1.2192	0.7650
4	1.2922	0.5698	1.0834	0.4045	1.1795	0.9011
5	1.3264	0.7645	1.0408	0.5983	1.1160	0.9581
6	1.2566	0.8760	1.0194	0.7448	1.0676	0.9821
7	1.1743	0.9363	1.0090	0.8454	1.0371	0.9923
8	1.1090	0.9678	1.0046	0.9097	1.0196	0.9967
9	1.0645	0.9840	1.0019	0.9489	1.0101	0.9985
10	1.0367	0.9921	1.0009	0.9718	1.0051	0.9994

Table 3: Eigenfunctions $\lambda_{(\lambda,\mu)}$ of the norm kernel of ${}^6\text{He} + \alpha$.

6.4 System ${}^8\text{He} + {}^4\text{He}$

The next system requires three complex parameter for its norm kernel, $\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{R}$. Indeed, four neutrons in the p -shell of ${}^8\text{He}$ cannot be described by the same orbital. However, these four p -shell neutrons can be treated as two neutron p -shell holes, which is why vectors $\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{v}$ are entering the norm kernel only in the form of the cross product, $[\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}] \equiv \mathbf{w}$. Therefore, all following expressions will contain the vectors $\mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ (and conjugated) only.

We again start from the norm kernel,

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{w}^*, \mathbf{S}) &= \sum_n \left\{ \Lambda_{(n,2)} \frac{1}{2 \cdot n!} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}^*)^2 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^n \right. \\
&+ \Lambda_{(n-1,1)} \frac{n}{n!(n+3)} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}^*) (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{R}) (\mathbf{w}^* \cdot \mathbf{S}) (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{n-1} \\
&+ \left. \Lambda_{(n-2,0)} \frac{(n-1)n}{2(n+2)!} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{R})^2 (\mathbf{w}^* \cdot \mathbf{S})^2 (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{n-2} \right\}. \quad (63)
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficients $\Lambda_{(\lambda,\mu)}$ are shown in Appendix B.

The SU(3) projection yields

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{w}^*, \mathbf{S}) &= \sum_n \{ \lambda_{(n,2)} \langle (n,2) | (n,2) \rangle + \lambda_{(n-1,1)} \langle (n-1,1) | (n-1,1) \rangle \\
&+ \lambda_{(n-2,0)} \langle (n-2,0) | (n-2,0) \rangle \}, \quad (64)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{(n,2)} &= \Lambda_{(n,2)}, \\
\lambda_{(n-1,1)} &= \Lambda_{(n,2)} + \Lambda_{(n-1,1)}, \\
\lambda_{(n-2,0)} &= \Lambda_{(n,2)} + \frac{2(n+2)}{n+3} \Lambda_{(n-1,1)} + \Lambda_{(n-2,0)}. \quad (65)
\end{aligned}$$

The minimal number of quanta allowed by the Pauli exclusion principle for the irreps $(n,2)$, $(n-1,1)$, and $(n-2,0)$ equals 6, 5, and 4, respectively (Table 4).

	$n = 2k$			$n = 2k + 1$		
k	$(n,2)$	$(n-1,1)$	$(n-2,0)$	$(n,2)$	$(n-1,1)$	$(n-2,0)$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	1.1865	0	0.7119	0.6229
3	0.5006	0.5006	1.0197	0.4380	0.8551	0.8829
4	0.7665	0.7799	0.9916	0.7309	0.9225	0.9623
5	0.8905	0.9064	0.9926	0.8797	0.9606	0.9873
6	0.9486	0.9607	0.9961	0.9480	0.9811	0.9955
7	0.9762	0.9835	0.9982	0.9780	0.9912	0.9984
8	0.9891	0.9931	0.9992	0.9907	0.9961	0.9994
9	0.9951	0.9971	0.9997	0.9961	0.9982	0.9998
10	0.9979	0.9988	0.9999	0.9984	0.9992	0.9999

Table 4: Eigenvalues $\lambda_{(\lambda,\mu)}$ for the norm kernel of ${}^8\text{He}+\alpha$.

The states with $L = 0$ belong to the space of the $(2k,2)$ irrep (with $k_{\min} = 3$) and the $(2k-2,0)$ irrep ($k_{\min} = 2$). The wave functions of these states are

$$\Psi_{(2k,2),L=0}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{R}) = \sqrt{\frac{(k+1)^2}{(2k+3)!}} \left\{ \mathbf{w}^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k} - \frac{k}{k+1} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{R})^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k-2} \right\}, \quad (66)$$

$$\Psi_{(2k-2,0),L=0}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{R}) = \sqrt{\frac{k}{(2k+2)!}} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{R})^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k-2}. \quad (67)$$

The relevant part of the norm kernel reads,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{w}^*, \mathbf{S}) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ \lambda_{(2k,2)} \Psi_{(2k,2),L=0}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{R}) \Psi_{(2k,2),L=0}(\mathbf{w}^*, \mathbf{S}) \\ &+ \lambda_{(2k-2,0)} \Psi_{(2k-2,0),L=0}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{R}) \Psi_{(2k-2,0),L=0}(\mathbf{w}^*, \mathbf{S}) \} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

The "physical" basis states $\Phi_k^{(l_{\mathbf{w}}, l_{\mathbf{R}}, L)}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{R})$ are labelled with the partial momenta of the ^8He cluster ($l_{\mathbf{w}}$) and of the relative motion of the clusters ($l_{\mathbf{R}}$). Again, the transformation to this basis is unitary. If $L = 0$,

$$\Phi_k^{(0,0,0)}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6(2k+1)!}} \mathbf{w}^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k}, \quad (69)$$

$$\Phi_k^{(2,2,0)}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{R}) = \sqrt{\frac{4k(k+1)}{3(2k+3)!}} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{R})^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k-2} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^2 \mathbf{R}^{2k} \right\}, \quad (70)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{(2k,2),L=0} &= \sqrt{\frac{2k+3}{3(k+1)}} \Phi_k^{(0,0,0)} - \sqrt{\frac{k}{3(k+1)}} \Phi_k^{(2,2,0)}, \\ \Psi_{(2k-2,0),L=0} &= \sqrt{\frac{k}{3(k+1)}} \Phi_k^{(0,0,0)} + \sqrt{\frac{2k+3}{3(k+1)}} \Phi_k^{(2,2,0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

The asymptotic conditions for the wave function expansion coefficients are well known in the "physical" basis, and can be written in the $\text{SU}(3)$ basis using the latter relations between the basis functions.

7 Hamiltonian Kernel

Besides the norm kernel, kernels of the operators entering the Hamiltonian are needed to solve the equations of the algebraic version of RGM. Whereas the norm kernel is the overlap integral of the generating functions of the harmonic-oscillator basis with the unity operator, the kernel of a physical operator is the overlap integral of the same generating functions with this operator. The kernel needs to be projected to the states with definite values of oscillator quanta, indices of the $\text{SU}(3)$ symmetry, and angular momentum. Below we discuss the kernels of the operators of kinetic and potential energy.

7.1 Nucleon-Nucleon Interaction Kernel

Consider for simplicity a central nucleon-nucleon potential having a Gaussian form. A number of known effective potentials fall into this category. In this case, all necessary integrations may be done analytically, so that it remains to write down the interaction kernel $U(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{S})$ in the form

$$U(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{S}) = \sum_{n, \tilde{n}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu, \tilde{\nu}} \langle n\nu | \hat{U} | \tilde{n}\tilde{\nu} \rangle \Psi_{n\nu}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{R}) \tilde{\Psi}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{\nu}}(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{S}). \quad (72)$$

Here, ν stands for the set of all quantum numbers but the total number of oscillator quanta n , i.e. the SU(3) irrep indices (λ, μ) , angular momentum L , its projection M , and, where necessary, additional quantum numbers. The matrix elements of a central interaction are diagonal over the angular momentum and independent of its projection. The procedure of the projection is simplified by the fact that the orthonormalized Pauli-allowed functions $\Psi_{n\nu}$ are already found in the Fock–Bargmann space. The interaction kernel is a bilinear in these states form, with the matrix elements as its coefficients, which are found by integration in the Fock–Bargmann space:

$$\langle n\nu | \hat{U} | \tilde{n}\tilde{\nu} \rangle = \int d\mu_b \int d\bar{\mu}_b U(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{R}; \bar{\mathbf{u}}^*, \bar{\mathbf{v}}^*, \bar{\mathbf{S}}) \Psi_{n\nu}(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}, \bar{\mathbf{R}}) \tilde{\Psi}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{\nu}}(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{S}). \quad (73)$$

Note that the integration in (73) may be done analytically.

Taking ${}^6\text{He}+p$ system as an example, we show a general form of the interaction kernel $U(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S})$ expanded over the $L = 0$ states

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{S}) = & \sum_{k, \tilde{k}=0}^{\infty} \langle (2k+2, 0), L=0 | \hat{U} | (2\tilde{k}+2, 0), L=0 \rangle \Psi_{(2k+2,0)L=0} \tilde{\Psi}_{(2\tilde{k}+2,0)L=0} \\ & + \langle (2k+2, 0), L=0 | \hat{U} | (2\tilde{k}-2, 2), L=0 \rangle \Psi_{(2k+2,0)L=0} \tilde{\Psi}_{(2\tilde{k}-2,2)L=0} \\ & + \langle (2k-2, 2), L=0 | \hat{U} | (2\tilde{k}+2, 0), L=0 \rangle \Psi_{(2k-2,2)L=0} \tilde{\Psi}_{(2\tilde{k}+2,0)L=0} \\ & + \langle (2k-2, 2), L=0 | \hat{U} | (2\tilde{k}-2, 2), L=0 \rangle \Psi_{(2k-2,2)L=0} \tilde{\Psi}_{(2\tilde{k}-2,2)L=0} \\ & + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

The dots here stand for the states with $L > 0$.

7.2 Kinetic Energy Kernel

The kernel $T(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{S})$ of the kinetic energy of the relative motion of clusters, as well as the related matrix elements between the basis functions, may be obtained in the way described above. However, we shall make use of the fact the Fock–Bargmann map $\hat{T}_{\mathbf{R}}$ of the kinetic energy operator, defined by the relation

$$T(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{S}) = \hat{T}_{\mathbf{R}} I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{S}), \quad (75)$$

is quite simple:

$$\hat{T}_{\mathbf{R}} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4mr_0^2} \left(\mathbf{R}^2 - 2(\mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}) - 3 + \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}^2 \right). \quad (76)$$

Here m is the mass of the nucleon, r_0 is the oscillator length. We shall use the convention $\hbar = m = r_0 = 1$ from now on.

It follows from Eq.(76) that the kinetic energy matrix in the harmonic-oscillator representation is three-diagonal. The matrix elements may be found by acting with the operator $\hat{T}_{\mathbf{R}}$ directly on the basis states with the following projection of the result.

To set an example, we calculate the matrix elements of the kinetic energy operator between the $L = 0$ states for the system ${}^6\text{He}+p$ (they will be valid for ${}^6\text{He}+n$ and ${}^8\text{He}+{}^4\text{He}$ as well). We act on the states $\Psi_{(2k+2,0),L=0}$ and $\Psi_{(2k-2,2),L=0}$ with the first term of the operator $\hat{T}_{\mathbf{R}}$ (76) which adds two to the number of quanta $n = 2k$ of a basis function.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}^2 \Psi_{(2k+2,0),L=0} &= \sqrt{\frac{(2k+5)(2k+2)(2k+1)}{2k+3}} \Psi_{(2k+4,0),L=0} \\ &- 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{(2k+3)(2k+1)}} \Psi_{(2k,2),L=0}, \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

$$\mathbf{R}^2 \Psi_{(2k-2,2),L=0} = \sqrt{\frac{2k(2k+3)^2}{2k+1}} \Psi_{(2k,2),L=0}. \quad (78)$$

Hence,

$$\langle (2k+4,0), L=0 | \hat{T} | (2k+2,0), L=0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{(2k+5)(2k+2)(2k+1)}{2k+3}}, \quad (79)$$

$$\langle (2k,2), L=0 | \hat{T} | (2k+2,0), L=0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{(2k+3)(2k+1)}}, \quad (80)$$

$$\langle (2k,2), L=0 | \hat{T} | (2k-2,2), L=0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2k(2k+3)^2}{2k+1}}. \quad (81)$$

As for the diagonal matrix elements, they are generated by the term

$$\frac{1}{2} \left((\mathbf{R} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}) + \frac{3}{2} \right)$$

and depend on the number of oscillator quanta only,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (2k-2,2), L=0 | \hat{T} | (2k-2,2), L=0 \rangle &= \\ \langle (2k+2,0), L=0 | \hat{T} | (2k+2,0), L=0 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(2k+3). \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

7.3 Asymptotic Equations of Free Motion

Let us expand the wave function $\Psi_{L=0}$ of a state with zero angular momentum over the basis states $\Psi_{(2k+2,0)L=0}$ and $\Psi_{(2k-2,2)L=0}$,

$$\Psi_{L=0} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k^1 \Psi_{(2k+2,0)L=0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k^2 \Psi_{(2k-2,2)L=0}, \quad (83)$$

where the coefficients $C_k^1 \equiv C_k^{(2k+2,0)}$ and $C_k^2 \equiv C_k^{(2k-2,2)}$ satisfy the set of algebraic linear homogeneous equations

$$\sum_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{\nu}} \langle k, \nu | \hat{H} - \lambda_k^\nu \delta_{\tilde{k},k} \delta_{\tilde{\nu},\nu} E | \tilde{k}, \tilde{\nu} \rangle C_{\tilde{k}}^{\tilde{\nu}} = 0, \quad \hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{U}. \quad (84)$$

At $k \gg 1$ the contribution of the interaction $\hat{U}$ may be neglected, and the set of equations, reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (2k+2, 0) | \hat{T} | (2k, 0) \rangle C_{k-1}^1 \\ & + \{ \langle (2k+2, 0) | \hat{T} | (2k+2, 0) \rangle - \lambda_{(2k+2,0)} E \} C_k^1 \\ & + \langle (2k+2, 0) | \hat{T} | (2k+4, 0) \rangle C_{k+1}^1 \\ & + \langle (2k+2, 0) | \hat{T} | (2k, 2) \rangle C_{k+1}^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (2k-2, 2) | \hat{T} | (2k, 0) \rangle C_{k-1}^1 \\ & + \langle (2k-2, 2) | \hat{T} | (2k-4, 2) \rangle C_{k-1}^2 \\ & + \{ \langle (2k-2, 2) | \hat{T} | (2k-2, 2) \rangle - \lambda_{(2k-2,2)} E \} C_k^2 \\ & + \langle (2k-2, 2) | \hat{T} | (2k, 2) \rangle C_{k+1}^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

defines the asymptotic behavior of the coefficients $C_k^{1,2}$ at $k \gg 1$. Having expanded in Eqs.(85–86) the matrix elements of $\hat{T}$ in a series over $1/k$ and retaining the leading terms only, we arrive to a set of equations which is a finite-difference representation of the Bessel differential equations. Eq.(85) is thus reduced to the Bessel equation with the index $17/4$,

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{1}{y} \frac{d}{dy} - \frac{17}{4} \frac{1}{y^2} + 2E \right) C^1(y) + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{y^2} C^2(y) = 0; \quad y = \sqrt{2E} \sqrt{4k+3}, \quad (87)$$

and Eq.(86) is transformed into the Bessel equation with the index $9/4$,

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{1}{y} \frac{d}{dy} - \frac{9}{4} \frac{1}{y^2} + 2E \right) C^2(y) + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{y^2} C^1(y) = 0. \quad (88)$$

With the matrix of the unitary transformation between the $SU(3)$ basis functions $\Psi_{(2k+2,0)L=0}$, $\Psi_{(2k-2,2)L=0}$, and the "physical" basis functions $\Phi_k^{(0,0,0)}$, $\Phi_k^{(2,2,0)}$ known, we can express the expansion coefficients $C_k^{1,2}$ in terms of $C_k^{(0,0,0)}$ and $C_k^{(2,2,0)}$

$$C^1(y) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} C^{(0,0,0)}(y) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} C^{(2,2,0)}(y),$$

$$C^2(y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}C^{(2,2,0)}(y) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}C^{(0,0,0)}(y). \quad (89)$$

It is easy to check that this orthogonal transformation splits the set of Eqs.(87–88), and we arrive to two uncoupled Bessel equations with a general solution in the form of the Bessel and Neumann functions $J_{l+1/2}(y)$ and $N_{l+1/2}(y)$,

$$C^{(l_1, l_2, l)}(y) = \cos \delta_l J_{l+1/2}(y) - \sin \delta_l N_{l+1/2}(y), \quad l = 0, 2. \quad (90)$$

Thus we have shown that the equations for the expansion coefficients in the SU(3) basis remain coupled even in the asymptotic region, whereas the set of corresponding equations in the "physical" basis is uncoupled at a large number of excitation quanta. The asymptotic expressions for the coefficients $C^{1,2}(y)$ easily follow those for $C^{(l_1, l_2, l)}(y)$ and the relations (89).

The matrix elements of the kinetic energy operator between the "physical" basis functions with $L = 0$ have a remarkably simple form,

$$\langle l_1, l_2, l, 2k+2 | \hat{T} | l_1, l_2, l, 2k \rangle = -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{(2k-l+2)(2k+l+3)}, \quad (91)$$

$$\langle l_1, l_2, l, 2k-2 | \hat{T} | l_1, l_2, l, 2k \rangle = -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{(2k-l)(2k+l+1)}, \quad (92)$$

$$\langle l_1, l_2, l, 2k | \hat{T} | l_1, l_2, l, 2k \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(2k + \frac{3}{2} \right). \quad (93)$$

Note that in the case of the system ${}^6\text{He}+p$ the identity of the eigenvalues for all SU(3) irreps allows to employ the "physical" basis even at small values of k , whereas for the other three systems considered in this paper the "physical" basis is useful only in defining the asymptotic behavior of the expansion coefficients in the SU(3) basis.

8 Conclusion

The norm kernel of the generator-coordinate method is shown to be a symmetric kernel of an integral equation with eigenfunctions defined in the coordinate-momentum phase space (the Fock–Bargmann space) and forming a complete set of orthonormalized (with the Bargmann measure) states satisfying the Pauli exclusion principle. The eigenvalues of the kernel of the integral equation limit to a finite value indicating that the kernel is of special kind. The main conclusion is that, in the Fock–Bargmann representation, the kernel of the integral equation is always representable in the form of a sum of degenerate kernels classified with the use of SU(3) symmetry indices. In the absence of an SU(3) degeneracy, the eigenspectrum of the norm kernel directly follows its form. If there is an SU(3) degeneracy, it is found as a solution of the integral equation which is reduced to a set of homogeneous algebraic

equations, with the rank equal to the degree of the SU(3) degeneracy. In this way, following the requirements of the permutational symmetry, the basis states are consistently classified with the use of additional quantum numbers.

In order to set the asymptotic boundary conditions for the expansion coefficients of a wave function in the SU(3) basis, a basis with a different set of quantum numbers (the "physical" basis) is required. The transformation between the two is defined through a unitary matrix, and the method of its construction is shown.

An interpretation of the norm kernel as a density matrix in the Fock–Bargmann space considerably reduces the task of solving the scattering problem for open-shell nuclei and yields a simple result for the closeness relation for the Pauli-allowed basis functions.

These statements were exemplified by several binary nuclear systems with a cluster having an open p -shell and an s -cluster. If both of the clusters have valence p -nucleons, the SU(3) degeneracy occurs. An interesting example is ^{12}Be with its excited states being able to decay into the $^6\text{He}+^6\text{He}$ and $^8\text{He}+^4\text{He}$ channels[18]. This system have to be studied in a coupled-channel method[19]. Such a study in the microscopic analytical approach described here is under its way, and its results will be published in a separate paper.

Appendix A: Integration in the Fock–Bargmann Space

All necessary integrations in the Fock–Bargmann space are performed analytically in Cartesian coordinates with the use of a parameter differentiation. It is particularly simple to show for functions and kernels depending on a single complex vector $\mathbf{R}$ (and the conjugated vector $\mathbf{S}$). The Cartesian components of these vectors are sums of their real and imaginary parts,

$$R_\kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi_\kappa + i\eta_\kappa), \quad S_\kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi_\kappa - i\eta_\kappa).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\alpha) &\equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \dots \int \exp\{-\alpha(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})\} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3 \\ &= \prod_{\kappa=1}^3 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi_\kappa \int d\eta_\kappa \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2}(\xi_\kappa^2 + \eta_\kappa^2)\right\} = \frac{1}{\alpha^3}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the integration of the unity over the Fock–Bargmann space (i.e. its "volume") corresponds to $I(1) = 1$.

Now the result of (17) is obtained as

$$\int \frac{1}{(2n)!} (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^{2n} d\mu_b = (-1)^n \left[\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \alpha^n} I(\alpha) \right]_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} = \frac{(2n+1)(2n+2)}{2}. \quad (94)$$

The function $\psi_{2n,0,0}$ of Eq.(21) is normalized by integrating an expression which depends on three independent parameters α_{ij} forming a symmetric 2×2 matrix.

$$I'(\alpha_{ij}) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \dots \int \exp\{\alpha_{11}\mathbf{R}^2 + \alpha_{22}\mathbf{S}^2 + 2\alpha_{12}(\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})\} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 d\eta_3$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det |\alpha_{ij}|}} \right)^3,$$

and its differentiation with respect to these parameters,

$$\int \mathbf{R}^{2n} \mathbf{S}^{2n} (\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{S})^m d\mu_b = \frac{1}{2^m} \left[\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \alpha_{11}^n} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \alpha_{22}^n} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \alpha_{12}^m} I'(\alpha_{ij}) \right]_{\alpha_{ii} \rightarrow 0, \alpha_{12} \rightarrow -1/2} = \frac{(2n+m+2)!}{2(n+1)}. \quad (95)$$

As we are interested in the $m = 0$ case,

$$\int \mathbf{R}^{2n} \mathbf{S}^{2n} d\mu_b = (2n+1)! \quad (96)$$

In another limiting case, $n = 0$, we arrive to Eq. (94).

Appendix B: Formulae for the Coefficients $\Lambda_{(\lambda, \mu)}$

1. System ${}^6\text{He} + {}^4\text{He}$ (see Eq.(61))

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{(n+2, 0)} &= 1 - \frac{7^{n-1}}{6^n 2^{n-1}} \left(5n + 14 - \frac{2^{n-3}(25n^2 + 35n + 24)}{7^{n-1}} \right) \\ &+ (-1)^n \frac{2^{n-6}}{3^n} \left(25n^2 - 105n + 64 - \frac{3^{n-2}(25n^2 - 70n + 18)}{2^{3n-7}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{(n, 1)} &= \frac{5(n+2)2^{n-6}}{3^n} \left\{ \frac{7^{n-1}}{8^{n-2}} \left(1 - \frac{2^{n-2}(5n+1)}{7^{n-1}} \right) \right. \\ &- \left. (-1)^n \left(5n - 13 - (10n - 19) \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^{n-2} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

$$\Lambda_{(n-2, 2)} = \frac{25n(n+1)}{24} \left\{ \frac{1}{6^{n-1}} + \frac{(-1)^n}{3} \left(\frac{2^{n-3}}{3^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{4^{n-2}} \right) \right\} \quad (99)$$

2. System ${}^8\text{He} + {}^4\text{He}$ (see Eq.(63))

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{(n, 2)} &= 1 + \frac{1}{4^{n+1}} \left(3(3n^2 + 9n + 8) - (3n + 10) \frac{5^{n-1}}{2^{n-3}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{n+4}} \left(9n^2 - 33n + 16 - \frac{9n^2 - 18n + 2}{2^{2n-5}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{(n-1, 1)} &= -\frac{3(n+3)}{2^{n+4}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left(6(n+1) - \frac{5^{n-1}}{2^{n-3}} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. (-1)^n \left(3n - 7 + \frac{3(3-2n)}{4^{n-2}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

$$\Lambda_{(n-2, 0)} = \frac{9(n+1)(n+2)}{2^{n+4}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} + (-1)^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2n-5}} \right) \right\} \quad (102)$$

References

- [1] Horiuchi, H.: Prog. Theor. Phys. **43**, 375 (1970)
- [2] Wheeler, J. A.: Phys. Rev. **32**, 1083 (1937)
- [3] Brink, D. M.: In: Proceeding of International School in Physics "Enrico Fermi", Course **36**, p.247. 1966
- [4] Fujiwara, Y., Horiuchi, H.: Prog. Theor. Phys. **63**, 895 (1980)
- [5] Brink, D. M., Weiguny, A.: Nucl. Phys. **A120**, 59 (1968)
- [6] Wong, C. W.: Suppl. Phys. Rep. **15C**, 285 (1975)
- [7] Saito, S.: Suppl. Prog. Theor. Phys. **62**, 895 (1977)
- [8] Griffin, J. J., Wheeler, J. A.: Phys. Rev. **108**, 311 (1957)
- [9] Bargmann, V.: Ann. Math. **48**, 568 (1947)
- [10] Horiuchi, H.: Prog. Theor. Phys. **55**, 1448 (1976)
- [11] Horiuchi, H.: Suppl. Prog. Theor. Phys. **62**, 90 (1977)
- [12] Harvey, M.: In: Advances in Nuclear Physics, Vol. 1, p.67. N. Y.: Plenum Press 1973
- [13] Petrovsky, I. G.: Lectures on Theory of Integral Equations. Moscow University 1984 (in Russian)
- [14] Filippov, G. F.: Riv. Nuovo Cim. **9**, 1 (1989)
- [15] Landau, L. D., Lifshits, E. M., Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory. Pergamon Press 1977
- [16] Perelomov, A. M.: Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications. Springer-Verlag 1986
- [17] Elliott, J. P.: Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser.A **245**, 128; 562 (1958)
- [18] Freer, M., et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1383 (1999)
- [19] Descouvemont, P., Baye, D., Phys. Lett. **B 505**, 71 (2001)